

No. ID/GGN/48-83/33380.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Shiv Kumar and the management of M/s Natraj Woollen Mills, Pvt. Ltd., Gurgaon, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to Labour Court, Faridabad constituted,—*vide* Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245 dated 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3-Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Shiv Kumar was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/GGN/79-83/33387.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Raja Singh and the management of M/s H. P. Industries, Delhi Road, Rewari, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Government of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad constituted,—*vide* Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3-Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Raja Singh was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/GGN/81-83/33394.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Ram Kishan and the management of M/s Raj Metals, Delhi Road, Rewari, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to Labour Court, Faridabad constituted,—*vide* Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3-Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Ram Kishan was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/GGN/66-83/33401.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Mrs. Krishna and the management of M/s Jansons Udyog Railway Road, Gurgaon regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to Labour Court, Faridabad constituted,—*vide* Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958, read with notification No. 5414-3-Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968, under section 7 of said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Mrs. Krishna was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is she entitled?

No. ID/GGN/59-83/33403.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Lakshman Sha and the Management of M/s Vidyut Engineering Technologies Pvt. Ltd., 17, Industrial Estate, Gurgaon, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad, constituted,—*vide* Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February,

1958 read with notification No. 5414-3Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication :—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Lakshman Sha was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

The 19th July, 1983

No. ID/YMN/86-83/33557.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Budhi Singh Bhandari and the management of M/s Tandoor Restaurant, Yamuna Nagar, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing ;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad constituted,—*vide* Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication :—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Budhi Singh Bhandari was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

No. ID/GGN/36-83/33564.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Satvir and the management of M/s Raj Metal, Delhi Road, Rewari, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing ;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to Labour Court, Faridabad, constituted,—*vide* Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958, read with notification No. 5414-3Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968, under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication :—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Satvir was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

No. ID/GGN/80-83/33571.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Ranbir Singh and the management of M/s H. P. Industries, Delhi Road, Rewari, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing ;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to Labour Court, Faridabad, constituted,—*vide* Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication :—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Ranbir Singh was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

No. ID/60-83/33578.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Nav Rattan Parshad and the management of M/s Vidyut Engineering & Technologies (P) Ltd., 17-Industrial Estate, Palam Road, Gurgaon, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing ;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad, constituted,—*vide* Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and workman for adjudication :—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Nav Rattan Parshad was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?